A Field Experiment on Search Costs and the Formation of Scientific Collaborations

Ina Ganguli Dept of Economics University of Massachusetts <u>Amherst</u>

IGL 2018 Global Conference *June 13, 2018*



I. How Do Collaborations Form?





Dominance of Teams in Production of Knowledge



Most Collaborators Are Close

- Geographic proximity and preexisting social ties dominate the formation of collaborations
- Acquiring information about potential collaborations may be costly and lead to search frictions



Setting: Harvard Medical School

17 affiliated hospitals and research institutes

More than 11,000 faculty Receives more than \$1.5 billion/year in NIH Funding

Accounts for approx. 5% of scientific articles published in top four medical journals; Nine Nobel prizes

RD MEDICAL SO Research buil

venue Louis Pasteur

I see by the current issue of `Lab News' Ridgeway, that you've been working for the last 20 years on the same problem I've been working on for the last 20 years.





Source: New Yorker

Experimentally Reducing Search Costs

- Collaborators need information about many things (e.g. personal chemistry, resources, skills) -> this can lead to search frictions
- If we reduce search costs for some pairs of potential collaborators by facilitating face-to-face interactions, will we increase collaboration?



II. A Field Experiment at Harvard Medical School

Published Paper:

Boudreau, Kevin, Tom Brady, Ina Ganguli, Patrick Gaule, Eva Guinan, Anthony Hollenberg, and Karim Lakhani, 2017. "A Field Experiment on Search Costs and the Formation of Scientific Collaborations." *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 99(4): 565-576, October 2017.



Research Collaboration Included Economists and Medical Researchers



KEVIN BOUDREAU London Business School



Tom BRADY MGH & Harvard Medical School



PATRICK GAULE CERGE-EI



EVA GUINAN DFCI & Harvard Medical School





ANTHONY HOLLENBERG BIDMC & Harvard Medical School



KARIM LAKHANI Harvard Business School

Field Experiment

Layered onto an internal grant funding opportunity for Harvard biomedical researchers

Eligibility for funding conditional on participation in an interactive research symposium – here we randomized individuals to breakout rooms

Collaboration measured as appearing as a co-applicant on a grant application



FIND OUT MORE http://catalyst.harvard.edv/services/imagingpilots/

The Treatment

- Treated pairs: same night & <u>same</u> breakout room
- Control pairs: same night & <u>different</u> breakout room









A View of the Sessions

Post-event Process

- After event participants received an invitation to submit applications
- Applications had to have at least 2 collaborators; at least 1 co-applicant had to have attended the event



Estimating the Impact on Colocation

 $Collaboration_{ij} = \alpha + \beta SameRoom_{ij} + \delta X_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$

- 402 total participants across 3 nights
- 224 grant applications
- 26,789 pairs
- Match individuals to biographical info, publications, grant applications



III. Results





| DV = Collaboration | (1) | (2) | (3) |
|----------------------------|---|---|----------|
| Same Room | 0.0012+ | 0.0012+ | 0.0014+ |
| | (0.0007) | (0.0007) | (0.0007) |
| One postdoc | | | -0.0008 |
| | | | (0.0005) |
| Both postdocs | | | -0.0015 |
| | | | (0.0007) |
| Both are female | | | 0.0001 |
| | | | (0.0006) |
| | | | (0.0011) |
| Same hospital | | | 0.0044** |
| | | | (0.0010) |
| Both Longwood | | | -0.0002 |
| Dom Dong wood | | | (0.0006) |
| One imager + one clinician | | | 0.0008+ |
| | | | (0.0005) |
| Both imagers | | | 0.0026** |
| | | | (0.0010) |
| Same clinical area (SOI) | | | 0.0040** |
| | | | (0.0014) |
| Previous coautnor | a na nana ini na kalanganga ang kanang kanang kanang na kalang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanan T | and the second secon | 0.1126 |
| | AN AND AN AND AN AND AND AND AND AND AND | | (0.0451) |
| Constant | 0.0016 | 0.0012 | -0.0010 |
| | (0.0003) | (0.0004) | (0.0007) |
| Night fixed effects | No | Yes | Yes |
| R2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.017 |
| Nb. of Obs. | 26.789 | 26,789 | 26,789 |

Reducing Search Costs Increases Collaboration

Reducing Search Costs

- Being (randomly) assigned to the same breakout room significantly increases the probability of collaboration
 - Being in the same breakout room increases probability of collaboration by 75%
 - Impacts those with same clinical areas (scientific space)



Which Pairs Did It Matter More For?

• **Conclusive**: Pairs in the same clinical area (scientific space) with lower search cost more likely to form

Inconclusive:

- Coordination costs (geographic distance: same hospital, Both Longwood)
- Social proximity (prior co-authorship)
- Gender (p=0.093 in probit; p=0.133 OLS)



Search Costs Shape Collaboration

 Acquiring information about potential scientific collaborators is costly and related search frictions impact collaboration





Face-to-Face Matters

• Face-to-face contact is a highly efficient form of communication - rapid feedback; trust and chemistry; aligning incentives; screening



